

Article

“We Story the Land”: Louise Erdrich and Indigenous Literary Decolonisation

Mr. S. Ramaraju

Department of English, Academy of Maritime Education and Training (AMET) Deemed to be a University, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India;
ramarajuseng@ametuniv.ac.in | <https://orcid.org/0009-0009-2766-0854>

Accepted version published on 5th July 2025

DOI <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15806590>

Abstract: This article undertakes a decolonial reassessment of literary hierarchies through a focused study of Native American literature, with a particular emphasis on the works of Louise Erdrich. At the intersection of Indigenous epistemologies, feminist ethics, and ecocritical praxis, the study examines how Native American writers dismantle Eurocentric modes of authorship, authority, and narrative legitimacy. Louise Erdrich, a prominent voice among contemporary Indigenous authors, employs storytelling not as a stylistic choice but as an epistemological act deeply embedded in community, memory, land, and survivance. Through a detailed analysis of novels such as *Tracks*, *Love Medicine*, and *The Round House*, the paper argues that Indigenous storytelling challenges linear temporality, Cartesian subjectivity, and colonial spatial politics. Erdrich’s characters, especially Indigenous women like Fleur Pillager and Geraldine Coutts, are custodians of ecological knowledge and intergenerational resistance. These texts are not simply narratives but repositories of Indigenous law, environmental ethics, and oral history. The study further connects Erdrich’s work with allied Indigenous authors—Joy Harjo, Linda Hogan, and Tommy Orange—to foreground a collective resistance to settler colonial erasure. It also argues for a reimagined pedagogy that includes Indigenous modes of reading, listening, and witnessing. By integrating theoretical insights from scholars such as Leanne Simpson, Linda Tuhiwai Smith, Qwo-Li Driskill, and Gerald Vizenor, the article offers a critical methodology for decolonising literary studies. Ultimately, this research repositions Indigenous literature from the margins of academic discourse to the centre of a decolonial and restorative literary future, where stories are not only told but also lived, remembered, and honoured.

Keywords: Indigenous Literature; Louise Erdrich; Decolonization; Native American Writers; Feminist Storytelling; Land Ethics; Survivance

Introduction

The literary canon, historically shaped by colonial institutions and Enlightenment rationalism, has long silenced non-Western, predominantly Indigenous, epistemologies. It privileges written texts, Cartesian rationality, and linear historicity—criteria under which oral traditions and communal storytelling are deemed primitive or non-literary. This exclusion is not accidental but intrinsic to the colonial logic of epistemic dominance. As Ngūgĩ wa Thiong’o has observed, the canon has served as a tool of cultural colonisation, alienating Indigenous peoples from their narrative traditions. Decolonising literary studies demands a rupture with these inherited hierarchies and a re-centring of marginalised voices, not as addenda to the canon but as paradigmatic alternatives. Louise Erdrich’s fiction represents a radical intervention in this terrain. Her narratives foreground land, kinship, and memory as modes of resistance. By embedding Indigenous cosmologies and storytelling techniques in her fiction, Erdrich creates a counter-canon rooted in survivance—a term popularised by Gerald Vizenor to describe survival infused with resistance and presence. This study positions Erdrich not as an “ethnic” writer supplementing American literature but as a foundational voice in the project of reimagining literary studies. Her work destabilises Eurocentric conceptions of time, space, and subjectivity, while affirming tribal identity, ecological interdependence, and matriarchal continuity. In focusing on Erdrich and her contemporaries, this paper calls for a new literary methodology—one that is accountable to Indigenous knowledge systems, cultural protocols, and historical realities. It invites scholars and educators to move beyond tokenistic inclusion and toward structural transformation in how literature is theorised, valued, and taught.

1. Literature as Land – Spatial Decolonisation in Erdrich’s Fiction

Louise Erdrich’s fiction enacts a spatial decolonisation that reclaims Indigenous understandings of land not as a passive backdrop but as a living entity imbued with memory, agency, and relational sovereignty. In contrast to Western literary traditions, where space is often metaphorical or symbolic, Indigenous literature—and Erdrich’s work in particular—presents land as subject, witness, and participant in narrative. This ontological shift forms the bedrock of Indigenous cosmologies, as articulated by Leanne Betasamosake Simpson, who asserts that “land is both literal and pedagogical.”

In *Tracks*, Fleur Pillager’s intimate relationship with the land symbolises Anishinaabe resistance against dispossession and cultural erasure. The land around Matchimanito Lake is animated with spiritual presence and historical memory: “The earth was full of memory. The trees whispered in Ojibwe.” This conception of land as a linguistic and mnemonic space undermines colonial mappings that erase Indigenous place-names and histories. Fleur’s ability to communicate with the land evokes the concept of “land-as-kin,” wherein territory is not owned but related to.

Erdrich’s spatial imagination also operates in *The Round House*, where jurisdictional gaps in federal law expose Native women to systemic violence. Here, space becomes a site of colonial legality, but also of Indigenous reclamation. Geraldine’s return to traditional spaces of healing challenges settler cartographies and reactivates

tribal sovereignty. By framing land as storied, Erdrich resists the colonial logic of terra nullius—the myth of empty land justifying conquest. Instead, her fiction aligns with Vine Deloria Jr.’s understanding of place as spiritually and historically dense. Spatial decolonisation in her narratives is not nostalgic; it is forward-facing, reconstructive, and politically assertive. This repositioning of land within literature reflects broader Indigenous movements for land restoration, language revitalisation, and cultural revitalisation. In asserting that “we story the land,” Erdrich invites a reorientation of literary studies toward Indigenous geographies, where place is not merely a setting but a self.

2. Oral Tradition and Storywork – Restoring Indigenous Epistemologies

At the heart of Indigenous literature lies a narrative structure shaped by oral tradition—fluid, nonlinear, multivocal, and cyclical in nature. Louise Erdrich’s novels do not merely imitate oral forms; they function as living expressions of ancestral voicework, memory, and resistance. This aligns with Qwo-Li Driskill’s notion of “storywork,” where stories serve as repositories of ceremonial, ethical, and relational knowledge. Colonial literary systems have long privileged the written word over oral transmission, reinforcing a hierarchy that delegitimises Indigenous epistemologies. Erdrich subverts this hierarchy by creating polyphonic texts that echo the layered textures of community storytelling. *Love Medicine*, for example, interweaves multiple narrators across generations, blurring temporal boundaries and dissolving Western constructs of plot and closure. “We started dying before the snow, and like the snow, we continued to fall.” This opening line establishes a temporal and narrative dislocation emblematic of Indigenous oral traditions, where time spirals rather than progresses linearly. As Thomas King insists, “The truth about stories is that that is all we are”—a declaration of both vulnerability and power.

In *The Last Report on the Miracles at Little No Horse*, the protagonist, Father Damien, serves as a narrative conduit through which Indigenous spiritual cosmologies and Catholic dogma intersect, merge, and evolve. The act of storytelling becomes redemptive and communal. When Agnes, disguised as Father Damien, retells stories to preserve tribal memory, the very notion of authorship dissolves into collective cultural preservation. Erdrich’s oral aesthetics also mimic tribal storytelling practices, such as repetition, digression, and mnemonic cues. These techniques, often seen as digressions in Euro-American literature, are essential pedagogical tools in oral cultures. In this regard, Erdrich participates in what Craig Womack calls “Native literary separatism”—not as exclusion, but as sovereignty over narrative form and content. Her work also aligns with contemporary Indigenous poets like Joy Harjo, whose poems are rhythmic chants of memory and place, and Simon Ortiz, whose storytelling weaves familial, tribal, and historical threads into a single fabric of survivance. Furthermore, storytelling in Indigenous cultures is deeply gendered, not in binary terms, but as a relational ethic of care. Female elders, often the carriers of oral history, shape identity and collective memory. In centring women’s storytelling voices, Erdrich restores intergenerational continuities disrupted by colonial schooling and language loss. By returning to and reinventing oral traditions, Erdrich resists epistemic colonisation. Her fiction is not a

simulation of orality but a reactivation of Indigenous modes of knowing. This approach requires a new literary hermeneutics—one that is attuned to voice, silence, gesture, and relationship. Erdrich's storywork is not about nostalgia but about creating a narrative present rooted in ancestral future.

3. Women, Kinship, and Resistance – Indigenous Feminist Poetics

Louise Erdrich's fiction enacts a powerful Indigenous feminist poetics that challenges both colonial patriarchy and Western feminist universalism. Her women characters are not simply passive figures of suffering or symbols of the land; they are agents of cultural transmission, ecological consciousness, and spiritual authority. Indigenous feminism, as theorised by scholars such as Kim Anderson, Cherríe Moraga, and Sarah Deer, is centred not on individual liberation but on the restoration of relationality between people, land, and spirit.

Fleur Pillager in *Tracks* is one of Erdrich's most iconic figures of matriarchal resistance. She embodies what Andrea Smith terms "sovereign erotics"—the reclaiming of female sexuality as a site of power, not shame. Fleur's connection to the land is not metaphorical; it is sensorial, mystical, and political. Her bodily agency disrupts the Christian moral codes imposed through settler colonialism and missionary institutions.

In *The Round House*, Geraldine Coutts survives the trauma of sexual violence through the support of familial and tribal networks. Her silence is not weakness but a culturally informed response that reflects the complexities of healing within colonial legal systems that routinely fail Native women. The novel highlights the limitations of state justice and underscores the need for community-based justice, rooted in tribal sovereignty.

Kinship in Erdrich's novels is not limited to bloodlines but extends to spiritual, ecological, and communal ties. Lulu Lamartine, for instance, sustains a web of relationships that defy the Eurocentric nuclear family model. As Leanne Simpson explains, kinship in Indigenous thought is a mode of governance—a way of sustaining life through reciprocity and care. Erdrich's Indigenous feminist vision diverges from mainstream feminist discourse by refusing the binary of victimhood and empowerment. Instead, her characters inhabit survivance—a term introduced by Gerald Vizenor to describe resistance through continuance, presence, and resurgence. These women are not merely survivors; they are cosmological keepers and political actors, grounding their identities in ancestral wisdom and communal renewal.

4. Comparative Horizons – Native American Literary Alliances

Louise Erdrich's literary work cannot be examined in isolation; it resonates within a vibrant web of Native American literary voices who collectively contest the colonial archive and articulate Indigenous resurgence. Writers such as Joy Harjo, Linda Hogan, and Tommy Orange embody a shared literary and cultural project: the reassertion of Indigenous worldviews, languages, and sovereignties. Joy Harjo, the first Native American U.S. Poet Laureate, offers a lyrical counterpoint to Erdrich's prose. In *An American Sunrise*, Harjo invokes the dispossession of the Mvskoke people while threading memory, music, and survivance. Like Erdrich, Harjo presents land not as

scenery but as an ancestor: "I returned to see what remained of my homeland. A cemetery, a river, and a hymn." Both writers engage with ancestral displacement and spiritual return; yet, Harjo's poetics often emphasise rhythm, oral cadence, and ceremonial invocation. This aligns with Craig Womack's call for a Native-centered literary theory grounded in tribal aesthetics and intellectual traditions.

Linda Hogan's *Solar Storms* echoes *Tracks* in its portrayal of ecological destruction as an extension of colonial violence. Hogan's women characters, like Erdrich's, are deeply entangled with the land's well-being. Hogan's narrative of a young girl returning to her tribal homeland through a canoe journey mirrors Fleur Pillager's immersion in sacred geographies. Both authors articulate a narrative of return—personal, communal, and political—that disrupts settler linearity.

Tommy Orange's *There There* adds another dimension to this alliance by foregrounding urban Indigeneity. Where Erdrich locates her stories in rural and reservation landscapes, Orange tells of Native lives shaped by dislocation, gentrification, and intergenerational trauma in Oakland, California. His polyphonic style and fragmentation mirror the broken histories of his characters, yet affirm cultural endurance: "We are the memories we do not remember. We are the cities we live in." Orange, like Erdrich, rejects the myth of the "vanishing Indian." His characters reclaim Native identity in malls, community centres, and powwows—spaces often excluded from traditional literary imaginaries. Together, these writers challenge both the rural fetishisation of Indigeneity and the Western obsession with cultural purity.

Their collective work represents what Daniel Heath Justice calls "a kinship of words"—a trans-tribal, intergenerational alliance of Indigenous authors who create not just literature but life-sustaining worlds. These comparative horizons reveal that Native American literature is not a genre but a sovereign literary system with its ethics, aesthetics, and genealogies.

5. Toward a Decolonial Pedagogy – Rethinking Literary Studies

Decolonising literary studies is not only a matter of inclusion—it demands a fundamental restructuring of epistemological priorities, pedagogical strategies, and institutional frameworks. Traditional curricula grounded in Euro-American canons have long excluded or tokenised Indigenous literatures, often presenting them through anthropological or ethnographic lenses rather than as sovereign intellectual traditions. As Linda Tuhiwai Smith has argued, decolonisation is about recovering not just histories but knowledge systems, worldviews, and protocols. A decolonial pedagogy must centre Indigenous authors like Louise Erdrich not only for their thematic relevance alone but also for their capacity to reshape our conceptions of what literature is and does. This requires educators to engage with Indigenous critical theory, incorporate tribal epistemologies, and approach Indigenous texts with cultural humility. Erdrich's novels, rich with oral aesthetics, ecological ethics, and spiritual depth, call for a pedagogy that listens rather than extracts, witnesses rather than dissects. One of the first steps toward decolonial praxis is destabilising Western hermeneutics that prioritise linearity, individualism, and text-centred interpretation.

Instead, educators must embrace relational accountability, where knowledge is understood within a network of responsibilities—to the land, to the community, and to ancestors. Teaching Tracks or The Round House without attending to Indigenous law, governance, and language risks reproducing colonial erasure.

Erdrich's work invites interdisciplinary and community-engaged approaches. The ecological devastation portrayed in *Solar Storms* or *Love Medicine* can be linked to environmental justice movements and climate resistance led by Indigenous communities. Students can be encouraged to connect literature to lived Indigenous realities—treaty rights, land back movements, missing and murdered Indigenous women (MMIW), and language revitalisation efforts. A decolonial pedagogy is an ethical commitment—it asks that we move beyond passive reading into relational engagement. It demands that educators and institutions confront their colonial inheritances and embrace Indigenous storytelling as a method of liberation, healing, and futurity.

Conclusion: Story as Sovereignty, Literature as Land

In Louise Erdrich's work, storytelling is not a literary device—it is a sovereign act. Her narratives restore Indigenous frameworks of land, kinship, and spirituality that colonial literary regimes have systematically marginalised. This article has explored how Erdrich, alongside writers like Joy Harjo, Linda Hogan, and Tommy Orange, reclaims narrative space for Indigenous resurgence. These authors challenge the assumptions of the Western literary canon, bringing into view the complex, living archives of Indigenous knowledge. Through story, Erdrich challenges settler ontologies that sever land from life, people from community, and memory from time. Her fiction is not merely about Indigenous characters; it is about Indigenous world-building. Whether through the embodied resistance of Fleur Pillager, the intergenerational grief and healing in *Love Medicine*, or the legal and spatial critique in *The Round House*, Erdrich affirms that land and story are inseparable.

Decolonising literary studies thus requires more than curricular revision; it demands epistemic reorientation. We must shift from asking how Indigenous literature fits into existing frameworks to asking how these literatures transform our understanding of literature itself. In recognising storytelling as a form of cultural survival and political resistance, we participate in what Gerald Vizenor calls survivance: a narrative presence that transcends victimhood and reclaims voice. In a world facing ecological collapse and cultural fragmentation, Erdrich's fiction offers a template for rootedness, resistance, and renewal. Literature, for Indigenous writers, is not merely written—it is lived, storied, and sovereign.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Works cited

- Allen, Paula Gunn. *The Sacred Hoop: Recovering the Feminine in American Indian Traditions*. Beacon Press, 1986.
- Anderson, Kim. *A Recognition of Being: Reconstructing Native Womanhood*. Second Story Press, 2000.
- Deer, Sarah. *The Beginning and End of Rape: Confronting Sexual Violence in Native America*. U of Minnesota P, 2015.
- Deloria, Vine, Jr. *Spirit and Reason: The Vine Deloria Jr. Reader*. Fulcrum Publishing, 1999.
- Driskill, Qwo-Li. "Doubleweaving Two-Spirit Critiques: Building Alliances Between Native and Queer Studies." *GLQ: A Journal of Lesbian and Gay Studies*, vol. 16, no. 1-2, 2010, pp. 69-92. *DOI: 10.1215/10642684-2009-015*.
- Erdrich, Louise. *Love Medicine*. Holt, Rinehart and Winston, 1984.
- . *Tracks*. Harper Perennial, 1988.
- . *The Last Report on the Miracles at Little No Horse*. HarperCollins, 2001.
- . *The Roundhouse*. HarperCollins, 2012.
- Harjo, Joy. *An American Sunrise*. W. W. Norton & Company, 2019.
- Hogan, Linda. *Solar Storms*. Scribner, 1995.
- King, Thomas. *The Truth About Stories: A Native Narrative*. House of Anansi, 2003.
- Moraga, Cherríe. *A Xicana Codex of Changing Consciousness: Writings, 2000-2010*. Duke UP, 2015.
- Orange, Tommy. *There There*. Alfred A. Knopf, 2018.
- Simpson, Leanne Betasamosake. *As We Have Always Done: Indigenous Freedom Through Radical Resistance*. U of Minnesota P, 2017.
- Smith, Linda Tuhiwai. *Decolonising Methodologies: Research and Indigenous Peoples*. 2nd ed., Zed Books, 2012.
- Vizenor, Gerald. *Manifest Manners: Narratives of Post-Indian Survivance*. U of Nebraska P, 1999.
- Womack, Craig S. *Red on Red: Native American Literary Separatism*. U of Minnesota P, 1999.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of Magnus Publishing and/or the editor(s). Magnus Publishing and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.